

**Medworth ISH5\_18 May\_PT4**

Created on: 2023-05-18 14:29:22

Project Length: 00:41:58

File Name: Medworth ISH5\_18 May\_PT4

File Length: 00:41:58

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:05:26 - 00:00:14:26

Hello, everyone. It's now 2:00, and I'd like to welcome you back to this issue specific hearing. Can I check that everyone can see and hear me clearly, please?

00:00:18:21 - 00:00:19:25

I can miss them.

00:00:20:10 - 00:00:21:18

We can. Thank you.

00:00:22:01 - 00:00:26:02

Thank you. Can I also confirm that the live stream has recommenced, please?

00:00:28:27 - 00:01:07:24

Yes, I can see a raised thumb. Okay. Before the break, we just finished agenda item for noise and vibration. So I'd now like to cover item five. In this item, we want to discuss the proposed development in relation to the water environment, mainly flood risk, water supply, foul effluent, surface water and groundwater. Before I start, there are a number of documents that were included in the agenda for this item which may be referring to. I do not intend to read this list out and if everyone's happy, I will assume that people have the list available from the agenda is kind of just confirm everyone's happy with that.

00:01:10:27 - 00:01:13:03

Yeah. I don't see any raised hands. Thank you.

00:01:14:20 - 00:01:36:16

So if I can please pause my first question to the applicant, if I can ask the applicant, please, to set out in broad terms their approach to flood risk, including their approach to the sequential test and exception test and how the essential infrastructure in flood zone three would be designed and constructed to remain operational and safe in times of flood.

00:01:42:28 - 00:01:45:29

Dr. Anna Brighton on the health of the applicant.

00:01:47:15 - 00:01:48:26

Thank you. Please continue.

00:01:49:06 - 00:02:25:12

Thank you. Um, so the assessment of the likely significant effects of the proposed development on flood risk receptors was prepared in accordance with the national policy statement and one on energy and three or in renewable energy infrastructure and five on electricity networks infrastructure. Um also looked at the national planning policy framework and other relevant national and local policy and guidance.

00:02:25:24 - 00:03:09:00

So this is all set out in the flood risk assessment. So appropriate for the applicant has undertaken extensive consultation with the Environment Agency, lead local flood authorities, midlife commissioners and the Water Management Alliance. And this was to discuss the assessment approach and the embedded the proposed embedded measures, um, for flood risk. In particular, the approach used has been agreed with the Environment Agency and this is confirmed in the draft statement of Common Ground, um, which was submitted at light Free.

00:03:09:26 - 00:03:26:27

And which has since been approved by the Environment Agency. So an updated final version of it will be submitted at night, 4 or 5. Um, if I then take a few minutes, just go through the assessment, if that's okay.

00:03:26:29 - 00:03:28:21

Yes, please do. Thank you.

00:03:29:22 - 00:04:03:15

Um, so the assessment included several steps. Firstly, the study area for the assessment was defined and this is the geographical geographic area where effects of the proposed development to flood risk receptors may occur. And then we looked at the current baseline environmental characteristics of the proposed development with particular reference to geology, hydrogeology, hydrology and flood risk.

00:04:04:15 - 00:04:40:27

And we did this by using desk based, publicly available information, information from a site water feature survey data obtained from the Environment Agency, including the latest tidal flood model for the area and data from the local councils. This information was then used to identify the flood risk receptors that may be affected by the proposed development. And then to assess the importance of sensitivity of those potential receptors.

00:04:41:22 - 00:05:18:21

And we then assessed the potential effects of the proposed development on those receptors and with a range of proposed embedded environmental measures in place. And the significance of an effect was determined by the sensitivity of the receptor and also the magnitude of the effect. So again, the methods and criteria used for this, though, to determine the value, the magnitude and significance of the effects is described in the flood risk assessment app.

00:05:19:02 - 00:05:20:02

I'll wait for.

00:05:21:27 - 00:05:53:17

Um, the environmental measures embedded in in the proposed development were then identified in consultation with the Environment Agency as said the lead local for the 40s, middle Commissioners and Water Management Alliance. And then the assessment concluded that with the embedded measures in place during construction, operation and decommissioning, there would be no significant impacts on flood risk receptors.

00:05:53:29 - 00:06:07:14

I can then spend another few minutes going through in a little more detail the flood risk and how this was assessed. That was kind of the overall methodology. Or do you want me to stop here and then ask me more particular questions?

00:06:07:20 - 00:06:15:23

It's just a broad overview that I'm looking for at this stage. So yes, if you if you want to spend a couple more minutes that that's absolutely fine. Thank you. Okay.

00:06:15:25 - 00:06:46:10

Thank you. Um, so for the flood risk in particular, which is what this this question is focusing on, all potential sources of flooding have been considered, including risks posed to and from the proposed development and again over the full development lifetime of the proposed developments. Um, this assessment indicated that tidal flooding from the River Nene represents the greatest potential flood risk posed to the proposed development.

00:06:47:05 - 00:07:26:21

And this is associated with parts of the proposed development, including essential infrastructure, um, within the, the energy from waste facility being located in flood zone three A as defined in the Environment Agency's flood map for planning. Um the assessment was based on so the flood mapping provided by the Environment Agency and also as mentioned before, the latest tidal flood model. Um, and where, where a risk was identified then flood risk measures were proposed in line with best practice and in consultation with the Environment Agency.

00:07:27:16 - 00:08:03:19

These included a range of measures such as raising finished floor levels for the for the energy from waste facility, um, above flood levels, specifying standoff distances from the edge of local watercourses and appropriate design of watercourse crossings to maintain existing flow conveyance again all through extensive consultation. Um, also with um, the middle level commissioners and the Water Management Alliance.

00:08:04:25 - 00:08:20:12

Um, and then yes, the assessment concluded that with the flood risk management measures proposed, um, the proposed development would not be subject to an acceptable level of flood risk, nor would it increase flood risk elsewhere.

00:08:23:24 - 00:08:25:12

Thank you. Thank you for that.

00:08:28:02 - 00:08:55:27

And the applicant now set out their approach to water supply and fowl trade effluent, including an update, please, on the statement of Common Ground with Anglian Water. That's REP 1044 and specifically in regard to Anglian Water Rep 3043, which describes the current insufficient water supply available within the Fenland water resource zone to meet the maximum daily demand.

00:09:01:07 - 00:09:43:06

Good afternoon. Tim Marks for the applicants in terms of Anglian Water and the recent representation from them since receiving the representation at Deadline three and we have continued to engage with with Anglian Water in a in a positive way and indeed held a meeting just last week to review in a bit more detail the water requirements for the proposed facility. I believe Anglian Water may be on the call today to provide their site, but we are going to be providing them with a bit more information in the form of a technical note to them so that they can assess the water requirements for the proposed development.

00:09:43:08 - 00:09:50:27

But we do feel that we have a solution to the concerns that have been raised and we'll be discussing those with Anglian Water.

00:09:53:13 - 00:09:53:29

Okay.

00:09:59:03 - 00:10:15:10

If I think there is a representative here from Anglian Water, if I can just bring you in at this point. Could you please provide an update on your current position as you've outlined in your rep? 1044 and rep 3043.

00:10:16:12 - 00:10:52:04

Yes. Good afternoon. It's Tessa Saunders, spatial planning advisor for Anglian Water, as outlined by the applicant. We are continuing to engage proactively with the applicant to look at those potential solutions for water supply which have arisen as our situation with the preparation of our draft water resources management plan, which has identified a sort of significant shift in expectations for abstraction licensing to achieve sustainability reductions, which means we.

00:10:54:01 - 00:11:31:07

These weren't planned for and our current water resources management plan. So it impacts on our ability to ensure that water supplies to our customers. So that's impacting on that non-domestic water supply issue for the facility. So we are continuing to engage with the applicant to look at all those potential options, including. So as explained by the applicant, we are looking to that technical paper just to outline what the water specific water users are on site and what options might be available to us so we can provide further updates as the examination seats.

00:11:31:28 - 00:12:11:05

Okay. I think obviously in this regard, for me, it's it's important for the examining authority at this stage just to understand the significance of this issue potentially and the likelihood of resolution within the timetable of this examination as it stands. So if both parties could just give a current I appreciate there's still negotiation ongoing, but I think just to provide some confirmation at the moment on the significance of the issue as it stands and also the likelihood that an adequate resolution will be reached within within the examination.

00:12:11:07 - 00:12:12:08

Time frame, please.

00:12:15:21 - 00:12:45:28

I think we outlined in our deadlines for submission that we provide more technical note by deadline for. But I think because we're continuing those discussions and engagement with the applicant and will be sort of also seeking further discussion with the Environment Agency on subtraction and on the sustainability reductions required. Um, I think it's more likely by deadline five.

00:12:51:03 - 00:12:53:08

What the applicant like to comment, please.

00:12:55:28 - 00:13:29:06

Marks on behalf of the applicant. As mentioned by Mr. Mrs. Saunders will continue to engage, prepare the technical note and and continue discussions. But what has come to to light since we've done some further review of the existing water supply is that the actual water supply to the current facility site is highly likely, if not definitely going to provide sufficient water supply to meet our needs and therefore we may only require the water connection in the event well, in the event that the combined heat and power elements of the project are delivered.

00:13:29:09 - 00:13:33:14

But we'll continue to engage and update Anglian Water on this on progress.

00:13:34:24 - 00:13:41:11

That. Just to clarify, Mr. Marx, what you're saying is that the existing water supply that supplies the site at present.

00:13:42:29 - 00:13:43:15

Yeah.

00:13:46:05 - 00:13:54:18

Correct. Yes. We believe that the current water supply to the site will provide sufficient potable water supply for the proposed development.

00:13:56:23 - 00:13:57:08

Okay.

00:13:58:03 - 00:14:09:23

Just picking up on your point there, Mr. Marx, in regards to the CHP element. Um, would you be able to provide more clarification on what you mean by that statement, please?

00:14:10:11 - 00:14:41:28

Sure. Absolutely. And we have I have some technical colleagues here with me as well who can expand on this point. Um, but on a should we call it a daily use of water? The existing water connection to the facility site, we believe has sufficient capacity, technical capacity to deliver the water requirements for the day to day operations in the event that we're able to deliver steam to a user.

00:14:42:00 - 00:15:05:11

There may be a requirement for additional water supply and at that point it may be that the water connection proposed in the DCO application is required to be implemented. However, just to mention that water would be offset by a reduction in water at the end user i.e. will be replacing their water requirements.

00:15:07:25 - 00:15:08:13

Okay.

00:15:09:19 - 00:15:13:22

I think I've got a couple more points of clarification on that one. Um.

00:15:15:13 - 00:15:36:26

If I may. Yes. Just to say that, obviously we'll be continuing, continuing the discussions with Anglian Water and reflecting this in a technical note, which as as has been mentioned in due course. I'm sure we can get this information submitted into the examination and that was set out. What I've just quickly summarized today.

00:15:39:00 - 00:15:39:15

Okay.

00:15:44:15 - 00:16:17:01

I did have questions linked to this, actually. I'll just run through some of them you may already have covered in terms of the technical note and the further work that's going to be done. But we'll we'll have a go and see where we get um. Document 030 Description of the proposed development in paragraph 3.4 0.62 states that if steam is supplied to customers who do not return the condensate, then additional water from the applicant's dedicated supply will be treated to make up the lost water volumes.

00:16:17:03 - 00:16:34:26

In this instance, additional discharges from the water treatment plant may have to be discharged to the foul sewer. So I have a couple of points of clarification on this. Um, just to help me understand, under what circumstances would steam customers not return the condensate?

00:16:38:04 - 00:17:22:00

Poor carry for the applicants. Very much depends at the moment on whether they are there already, in which case their process will already determine the extent to which they can return condensate. And it's unlikely that if we switch, if we switch to the supply of steam from our facility, the the condensate return mechanisms that they have will not change and the condensate return will be the same as it is. So there'll be no net difference in the amount of water required because instead of it coming from their pipe, it would come from our pipe if in very simple terms and instead of the condensate going back to their system, it would come back to our system.

00:17:22:02 - 00:17:33:19

So it would be the same flow of steam out and the same flow of condensate back. It would just be following a different route. So the net impact would be zero. Does that make sense?

00:17:34:09 - 00:17:41:11

It does. So the users that you're targeting are existing steam users, is that correct?

00:17:43:00 - 00:18:18:28

Well, at the moment, we can only base our assumptions on the existing users, the potential existing users. Of course, in the future other users may come along if they develop projects on the land that's currently vacant or take over land that's in the area. And we would of course talk to them about maximizing their condensate return because of course it's always in everyone's interest to maximize condensate return. To avoid wasting the water, you have to accept that some of the steam that is supplied doesn't actually get condensate back in a clean state and some of it may be lost to atmosphere.

00:18:19:00 - 00:19:02:15

You may see wisps of steam coming out of a building and that is lost to the atmosphere then. But to the extent that their steam systems allow the capture of condensate and that that condensate is of a sufficient quantity quality, sorry, then it can be returned either to their system or to our system, as the case may be. And we have experience of of dealing with condensate that might be quite dirty because it's been through some rusty pipework in the customer's facility and we've had experience of being able to clean that up so that it can be put back into our system in a satisfactory manner so that we don't see that being a technical problem at all.

00:19:02:17 - 00:19:06:09

It's just a matter of discussing it with the end user.

00:19:07:17 - 00:19:08:05

Okay.

00:19:08:12 - 00:19:43:08

Okay. Um. I just looking at foul effluent as well from the development and also in the context of the paragraphs that I've just described is the higher rate of discharge to the foul sewer that would result in the instance set out in paragraph 3.4.62. Is that part of the discharge discharge rate and peak flow of 2.502l per second stated in paragraph 3.40.64. And if not, is there capacity in the network to accommodate those additional floors?

00:19:50:14 - 00:20:24:03

Pull carry for the applicant. Think we might need to take that one away from the discussions we've had so far with Anglian Water. Um, for them to take our our trade effluent has not yet been considered a problem and recognizing actually in fact that a lot of what we might put down the drain is actually relatively clean water. It is not highly contaminated or polluted and, and we need to discuss that further with Anglian Water. But so far we haven't identified any showstoppers, so to speak.

00:20:24:17 - 00:20:25:04

Okay.

00:20:25:06 - 00:20:28:26

That's just about the flow rate as well as the quality of.

00:20:28:28 - 00:20:42:04

Yes, indeed. Indeed. Indeed. But it's not what we put into the drainage system will not be heavily contaminated materials. It would be a lot of it is quite clean water, in fact, if anything.

00:20:42:18 - 00:20:43:06

Okay.

00:20:43:08 - 00:21:00:17

So just for clarification, if I could just note an action point for the applicant to revisit that paragraph, 3.4.62 and to update the on potential implications for the foul discharge rates.

00:21:02:00 - 00:21:32:21

If I may just interject, ma'am. Okay. For the applicant. Just as an example, for if we needed to empty the boiler, which has contains very high quality water, we would not put that down the drain. We would We have a separate tank and we would put that that water into that tank and then put it back into the boiler once the boiler was ready to receive it. So we don't routinely throw away large quantities of water. It's only if we really need to and there not not significant quantities in the scheme of things.

00:21:34:11 - 00:21:47:21

Okay. Yeah. That specific paragraph does mention additional discharges to the from the water treatment plant which may have to be discharged in the foul. So so just it's just really to get that clarification at this point in time.

00:21:49:27 - 00:22:05:27

Yes, those discharges are when we need to regenerate filters and other parts of the water treatment plant. We may be able to take that water into our sluggers, but there may be occasions when we can't. And that's what we're trying to describe in those documents.

00:22:06:18 - 00:22:08:10

Okay. Thank you.

00:22:10:06 - 00:22:39:20

Okay, moving on. Can I please ask the applicant to set out their approach to the outline drainage strategy? That's REP 1017 and progress on the statements of common ground with the lead local flood authority. That's rep 1038 Kingsland Internal Drainage Board rep 1048 and 100 of Wisbech internal drainage Board that's rep 1047 specifically highlighting any outstanding issues.

00:22:44:00 - 00:22:46:08

Dr. Anna Bright for the applicant.

00:22:50:07 - 00:23:36:16

And the outline drainage strategy. So one of 17 has been developed to manage surface water runoff from the proposed development during both the construction and operational phases in a sustainable manner and in accordance with the requirements of national policy. Statement Iron one for Energy and the National Planning Policy Framework to manage surface water flood risk on site, ensuring flood risk is not increased elsewhere and where possible, reduces flood risk overall and any pumped groundwater during construction will also be managed as part of this drainage strategy.

00:23:36:25 - 00:24:16:04

The approach for the strategy has been developed through extensive pre-application consultation with the local, the lead local for the authority's Kingsland Middle level Commissioners and confirmed in a draft statement of common grounds submitted at Headline one. So the middle level Commissioners is one or for seven for Kingsley 91 over eight and the applicant has also agreed to the draft statement of Common Ground with the Environment Agency, as mentioned earlier.

00:24:16:06 - 00:24:51:13

So this will be submitted after it has been signed that deadline for five. I was just going to take a few minutes to kind of set out the approach for the drainage strategy across the development. Okay. Thank you. Um, a sustainable drainage system or studs for the proposed development has been incorporated in the design to meet water quality treatment requirements set out in a Syria sustainable drainage system manual.

00:24:51:15 - 00:25:24:00

See 753 Guidance. In summary, the approach for the energy from waste facility is to collect, attenuate and treat surface runoff in sustainable drainage systems before discharge into the adjacent drains at greenfield runoff rates as agreed with the middle level commissioners for the construction phase, flows will be treated and attenuated in swales and detention basins.

00:25:24:18 - 00:26:03:16

For the operational phase, flows will be treated in swales detention basin and filter strips and then attenuated in underground tanks. And this is due to spatial constraints during the operational phase. The attenuation capacity for the drainage system has been designed for the 1 in 100 year storm event plus climate change in accordance with the Environment Agency's latest guidance. And again, this is all been discussed through consultation with the middle level commissioners and Kingsland IDP and the lead local authority.

00:26:05:03 - 00:26:40:22

The approach during the construction of the wall. Second substation is to collect, treat and attenuate runoff in a scale and detention basin before discharge into an adjacent drain. The discharge rate and location will be agreed with Kingsland, ADB and Norfolk County Council at detailed design, post consent and prior to construction. And this will follow. This will be after we conduct a topographical survey and a ditch walkover survey.

00:26:41:05 - 00:27:27:19

So that was construction for Walsall substation for the operation of the substation. Runoff would be allowed to infiltrate the ground via permeable paving. Further investigation of the viability of infiltration will be undertaken post consent and prior to construction through consultation with Norfolk County Council and by undertaking a test topographical survey and ditch walkover survey in the event that infiltration into the ground is not a viable solution, then we're proposing that surface water flows are attenuated in a detention basin prior to discharge into an adjacent ditch.

00:27:27:21 - 00:27:58:06

Again at a rate a discharge rate to be agreed with both Kingsland and Norfolk County Council. Um, the the draft statement of Common Grounds, which I mentioned. So both with Norfolk County

Council and well the host authorities, um, is in discussion and we anticipate the final approved version to be provided at deadline. Um, 4 or 5.

00:27:59:12 - 00:28:47:18

Just to finalize one more thing. Yes, if that's okay. Um, so we the applicant does acknowledge that there are certain features in the outline drainage strategy that require further assessment, and this is then taken to the detailed design stage. Um, so and this is why the drainage strategy is an outline, um, drainage strategy. Nevertheless, um, the outline drainage strategy does provide sufficient information to confirm that surface runoff will be managed appropriately in order to prevent an increase in flood risk both on and off site and to prevent pollution of the local water environment.

00:28:47:20 - 00:29:20:19

So modeling was undertaken by the applicant, and this demonstrates that the efficient attenuation capacity will be provided on site to limit the discharge of runoff into adjacent ditch ditches to greenfield runoff rate. As agreed previously, um, the applicant has used a simple index approach to show that surface runoff will be treated appropriately prior to to discharge so we won't cause pollution of the water environment.

00:29:21:15 - 00:30:06:14

Um, on site attenuation capacity during construction also includes indicative groundwater pumping rates from the deeper excavation. So the waste banker mainly um, but those rates again will be confirmed at detailed design stage once further site investigations are undertaken. However, if these site investigations at detailed design stage indicate that the dewatering rates are higher than the preliminary calculations indicate, then sufficient space is available in the southern area of the Energy from Waste facility site to increase the capacity of the attenuation basins if this is required.

00:30:06:24 - 00:30:25:25

So this is to ensure that groundwater from deep excavations is properly stored within the site and therefore preventing any risks of flooding on site. This kind of an overall, um, overview of the drainage strategy across the different proposed development element.

00:30:27:06 - 00:30:44:15

Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Bridge. Thank you. Um, can I now ask in turn, um, the lead local flood authorities and then internal drainage boards to provide any representations in this regard. Um, if I can start with Cambridge County Council, please.

00:30:46:06 - 00:30:53:21

It's. Thank you, ma'am. No, we have we have no comments. Our flight engineer has been sitting listening, and we've no further comment to add at this stage.

00:30:57:00 - 00:31:01:12

Thank you very much for that. If I can turn to Norfolk County Council, please.

00:31:06:18 - 00:31:18:16

Thanks, man. Norfolk County Council. We haven't got a representative from the lead local flood authority here today, but as I understand it, there's no further issues beyond those in the local impact report.

00:31:20:24 - 00:31:22:11

Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

00:31:24:02 - 00:31:30:21

And now please turn to the internal drainage boards. If we start with Kingsland Internal Drainage Board, please.

00:31:35:29 - 00:32:16:04

Hello, Yvonne Smith, Senior Sustainable Development Officer representing Kingston Internal Drainage Board. I agree with most of what's been said. We do have one. Then a large concern, which is to do with the culverts under the A47 within our internal drainage district. We just want to make sure that because the applicant is proposing to place the cable above the existing culverts underneath the highway, we want to ensure that those culverts are feasibly replaceable in the future without a significant financial impact, falling on an authority that may not be able to shoulder it.

00:32:16:21 - 00:32:29:17

And however, the applicant is aware of our concerns and has indicated that we are going to have some meetings on this starting next week. So believe it is solvable. It just hasn't been addressed yet. Okay.

00:32:30:25 - 00:32:32:25

The applicant like to respond.

00:32:33:28 - 00:32:43:12

Tim Marks on behalf of the applicant, just to confirm that is our understanding and we shall be having those meetings shortly and closing down this last point.

00:32:45:15 - 00:32:46:05

Thank you.

00:32:46:28 - 00:32:51:20

So finally, if I can turn to a hundred of Wisbech internal drainage board please.

00:32:55:03 - 00:33:13:03

Good afternoon. Graham Moore from hundred Westbridge IDB. We've been involved, fully involved with the the applicant and the applicant's consultant regarding the drainage strategy. Um, whilst there are some issues, I think they are solvable within the time period.

00:33:15:17 - 00:33:36:06

The board was due to have a meeting this afternoon, but I've had to postpone that due to attendance at this inspection for examination rather. Um, that won't that won't be until next Tuesday now, which is just before the deadline for. So think in real terms, any solution will be deadline five.

00:33:38:01 - 00:33:38:16

Okay.

00:33:43:02 - 00:34:01:12

Thank you for that. I think just to go back to the applicant, just really for the applicant to confirm that they're happy that all of the statements of common ground and any outstanding issues can be resolved within the time period of the examination at the level of confidence that you have, Please.

00:34:02:01 - 00:34:41:21

Level of confidence is very high. As mentioned, we've concluded matters with the Environment Agency. We've got one matter to address with the Water Management Alliance, which is we're not miles apart at all. It's more just confirming it in detail and how we reflect that in the in the in the draft development consent order. So that should be completed very soon. And in terms of the middle level commissioners, we have been working very well with Mr. Moore and his his his board at the hundred

of Wisbech and we just waiting for comments and then we will obviously address those as soon as we can once we receive them.

00:34:41:23 - 00:34:47:08

So we believe all of this is achievable within the examination programme.

00:34:49:04 - 00:35:01:15

Thank you for that. Okay. Can I ask if there are any other interested parties that would like to make any comments regarding the water environment today? If you do, please click to raise your hand and I'll invite you to speak.

00:35:08:26 - 00:35:10:22

I can't see any hands raised.

00:35:12:17 - 00:35:18:22

And just ask, are there any other comments anyone would like to make on item five of the agenda before we move on?

00:35:24:20 - 00:35:31:17

So. Okay. Thank you. Can. Now, if I can hand over to Mr. Pinter, please, to cover the remaining agenda items.

00:35:34:21 - 00:36:08:17

Thank you very much, Mr. Makinson. So as we discussed earlier today in this session, we have decided to postpone our examination under item six of effects. So that will take us to item seven, which is review of the issues and actions arising. We have been making notes of the actions mentioned following from today's meeting. I don't intend to go through them now, but we will be writing those up and publishing them as soon as practicable.

00:36:08:21 - 00:36:13:12

Are there any comments anyone would like to make on this specific item?

00:36:17:21 - 00:36:23:21

I can't see any hands raised. So move us onto, uh. Oh, uh, Mr. Andrew Fraser.

00:36:25:06 - 00:36:36:24

Again, just a very polite, if we might remind her, that we would be very grateful if all the actions required could be published as soon as possible, given the imminence of deadline for.

00:36:38:03 - 00:36:40:14

Thank you. Um.

00:36:42:09 - 00:36:47:23

Anything else on item seven Review of issues and actions arising.

00:36:51:00 - 00:37:05:20

Mr. Fraser, can I ask you to just lower your hand, please? Thank you. Um, this will take us to item eight, then any other business. So there are any other matters that people would wish to raise at this meeting?

00:37:08:23 - 00:37:23:11

Gary McGovern for the applicant. Sir? Yes. Just one final item, and it's just by way of update. Further to the discussions we had in three and relates to waste Matters. And you'll recall, sir, that there was discussion in relation to a proposed

00:37:25:07 - 00:37:39:11

requirement waste catchment requirement that was discussed and it was just to update you, sir, that the applicant has been working diligently on the drafting and is intending to send the draft requirement across to Cambridgeshire County Council imminently this afternoon. Thank you.

00:37:39:13 - 00:37:41:24

Sir. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you very much.

00:37:44:03 - 00:37:46:04

Uh, Mr. Andrew jacket.

00:37:49:08 - 00:38:30:20

Sir. Thank you. Mean, first of all, we look forward to receiving the draft requirement on waste proximity. My item of other business arises out of the situation that we have with our landscape witness deadline for looms, and one of the actions of deadline for is to comment on, in this case, the applicants material submitted at deadline three. There were some landscape related comments in the applicants deadline three Submission relating to responses to the written representations and the local impact report.

00:38:30:26 - 00:39:03:18

We had intended to make comment by way of our response to those at deadline For now, because of the unavailability for a slightly ill defined period of our landscape witness, it's unlikely that we're going to be able to meet that deadline. So what I would ask is that we have permission to defer the production of those elements of our comments, which relate to landscape and visual matters to a later date.

00:39:04:28 - 00:39:45:26

I've already undertaken on behalf of the authority that we will provide a list, as you will recall, of those specific assessments of landscape and visual impact with which we disagree as as soon as possible. But certainly in advance of the resumed hearing on those landscape matters. Can also ask that we be allowed that same time appreciate that it's not a defined time period, but we'd be allowed that same time to produce our responses on landscape and visual matters to the material that was put to the examination by the applicants at Deadline three.

00:39:47:27 - 00:39:54:23

Um. Thank you. Uh, thank you for that point. I, I believe that, um,

00:39:56:10 - 00:40:15:29

if, um, it's going to be just on those specific matters which you have highlighted, which obviously we have discussed the reasons around it earlier today, then I would suggest that that probably be acceptable and I would ask that to be published then as part of, um.

00:40:25:08 - 00:40:30:04

As part of your deadline submission then.

00:40:30:19 - 00:40:42:05

If that's that's that's very helpful indeed. Thank you. It's only to do with landscape and visual, all the other matters. We will respond as we are expected to do at deadline for. But it's just those particular matters. Thank you.

00:40:42:23 - 00:40:43:13

Thank you.

00:40:47:24 - 00:40:49:03

Any of the business.

00:40:52:18 - 00:41:33:12

I can't see any hands raised, so I'll move on to item five closure of hearing. So thank you all for contributing for a full and useful meeting today. We will consider all submissions made very carefully at time. Um, and I would just like to very quickly highlight to everyone that the next line, next deadline, as we have discussed, is deadline for and that will be Thursday, the 25th of May 2023, where the examining authority will be expecting um post hearing submissions, including submissions of oral cases to be submitted to us in writing as well.

00:41:33:14 - 00:41:52:05

Following from the set of hearings that we have held this week. Um. The time is now. Uh, 2:41 and such five for the met with energy from Waste Limited is now closed. Thank you.